



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.                      |
|--|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10/670,648   | 09/24/2003     | Fang-Jwu Liao           |                     | 6810                                  |
| 25859 7  | 590 09/23/2004 |                         | EXAM                | INER                                  |
| WEI TE CHUNG<br>FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC.<br>1650 MEMOREX DRIVE<br>SANTA CLARA, CA 95050 |                |                         | ZARROLI, MICHAEL C  |                                       |
|  |                |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER                          |
|  |                |                         | 2839                | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|  |                | DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004 |                     |                                       |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/670,648  | LIAO, FANG-JWU   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Michael C. Zarroli  | 2839   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE                   | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 S  | September 2003.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This   | s action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,—   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 8-19 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>   | wn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2003.   | are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ts have been received.<br>ts have been received in Applicati<br>prity documents have been receive<br>uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draitspersor's Faterit Drawing Review (FTO-946)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | . 🗂   | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/670,648 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nagumo.

Nagumo discloses (fig. 2) a tool for facilitating attachment of an integrated circuit package (P) to an electric connector, the tool comprising: a base (1) defining a chamber (12, fig. 3) in one side thereof; and an actuation member (3, 4) provided in the chamber, the actuation member comprising a driving portion (3) having an upper section (fig. 13 upper left of 3) and a lower section (fig. 13 lower right of 3) thereat and an operating portion (4), the lower section of the driving portion connecting with the base (unnumbered figures 13 & 14), the operating portion extending from a middle section of the driving portion (unnumbered figures 13 & 14) and protruding out from the side of the base, the operating portion being

pushable to pull the upper section of the driving portion outwardly (figures 13 to 14).

Regarding claim 2 Nagumo discloses that the base further comprises a pair of clasps at two opposite sides thereof (unnumbered fig. 3 center).

Regarding claim 3 Nagumo discloses that a projecting member is provided at another side of the base (fig. 3 unnumbered).

Regarding claims 4-5 Nagumo discloses that the base defines a pair of holes in two diagonally opposite corners thereof and, a pair of recesses in two opposite sides thereof (unnumbered in figures 3 and, 8-9).

Regarding claim 6 Nagumo discloses that a connecting portion connects the lower section of the driving portion of each of the actuation members with a bottom of base (unnumbered fig. 11).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,648

Art Unit: 2839

4. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagumo

Page 4

as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of case law.

Nagumo discloses that the base defines a square not rectangular opening.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art to modify the square base opening of Nagumo to be rectangular.

The motivation would be to enable the device to accommodate a different size of

IC chip. In addition, claimed variations in relative dimensions, which do not

specify a device, which performs or operates any differently from the prior art, do

not patentably distinguish applicant's invention. Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.,

725 F.2d 1338 (Ct. App. Fed. Cir. 1984).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 8-19 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The combination in claims 8 and 15 especially the spring arm.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,648 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Matsuoka et al, Cearley-Cabbiness and, Savant all teach a base and tool for facilitating attachment of an IC, but no spring arm.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Zarroli whose telephone number is 571-272-2101. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 3:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.C. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Zarroli

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

MCZ MCZ